| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/735,609 | PONTE, JAY MICHAEL |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Sangwoo Ahn | 2166 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) Sangwoo Ahn. | (3) <u>Hosain Alam</u> . | |
| (2) David O'Neal. | (4) | • |
| Date of Interview: 18 September 2006 | Time: <u>10:30AM</u> | • |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Minor informalities regarding claims 38 - 40, 101 issue regarding claim 102, and allowability regarding claims 37 and 102 | | |
| Claims discussed: 37 - 40, 102 - 105 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | , |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Man | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | 'Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to cancel claims 17 - 36, 41 - 65, 82 - 102, 106 - 130 without prejudice, which resulted in allowance of the remaining claims. Remaining claims are 37 - 40 and 102 - 105. Applicant's representative also agreed that 1) claims 38 - 40 should depend on claim 37 instead of claim 36 and 2) claim 102 needs to recite at least one element that is hardware so that both hardward and software are present in combination within the claim, and authorized examiner to amend those claims to resolve the minor objections and the 101 issue